

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,156	07/23/2003	Richmond R. Cohen	P-5081D1	7998	
26253	7590 01/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DAVID W. HIGHET, VP AND CHIEF IP COUNSEL BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY			FLETCHER III	FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P	
•	1 BECTON DRIVE, MC 110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FRANKLIN L	AKES, NJ 07417-1880		1762		

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/625,156	COHEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William P. Fletcher III	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of this of this of the control	,			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 July 2005					
	This action is non-final.					
,=		ers, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		,				
	cation					
	Claim(s) <u>8-12</u> is/are pending in the application.					
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 8-12 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 July 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			l Stage			
application from the International E	,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) $igotimes$ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) $igotimes$ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449 or P10/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/23/05</u>. 	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· ····			

Application/Control Number: 10/625,156 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The preliminary amendment filed 23 Jul. 2003 is acknowledged. Claims 8-12 are pending.

Priority

2. It is noted that this application appears to claim subject matter disclosed in prior Application No. 09/942,401, filed 30 Aug. 2001, now US 6,648,836. A reference to the prior application must be inserted as the first sentence(s) of the specification of this application or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.76), if applicant intends to rely on the filing date of the prior application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121, or 365(c). See 37 CFR 1.78(a). For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of all nonprovisional applications. If the application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference to the prior application must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or Application/Control Number: 10/625,156

Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Art Unit: 1762

120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A benefit claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for

If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t) are not required. Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11.

Information Disclosure Statement

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/625,156 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 23 Jul. 2003 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

4. The drawings filed 23 Jul. 2003 are approved by the draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152.

Specification

- 5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not recite any process steps. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 6. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

7. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,156

Art Unit: 1762

Page 5

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

9. Claims 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Specifically, the claim recites "a water-swellable filter," "a filter substrate." The specification as originally filed in 09/942,401 nowhere recites such a filter. Rather, the specification refers to a porous substrate and the formation of a porous plug. There is no reference to such a porous plug as a water-swellable filter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1762

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

11. Claims 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ikada et al.

(US 4,743,258 A).

This reference discloses a process in which a porous substrate is impregnated with a

hydrophilic polymer and irradiated to attach the polymer to the surfaces of the porous substrate

(2:10-3:65). This reference also discloses that the porous substrate may be a variety of

polymeric (i.e., plastic) materials (3:22-45). This reference further discloses that the hydrophilic

polymer may be polymers of vinylpyrrolidone, vinyl acetate, and ethylene oxide (3:5-11). This

reference finally discloses the use of gamma or electron beam irradiation (2:51-68).

This reference does not explicitly refer to a "filter." Because applicant's originally-filed

specification does not disclose a filter (see above), the examiner is obliged to give the term its

broadest reasonable interpretation. A filter is commonly defined as "a porous article or mass

through which a gas or liquid is passed to separate out matter in suspension." Since Ikada

teaches that the substrate is porous, inherently serves to impede the passage of certain materials

there-through (i.e., materials larger than the size of the pores) and, consequently, inherently

satisfies the definition of a filter.

Further, this reference does not explicitly refer to the coated, porous substrate is water-

swellable or that the irradiated coating forms a hydrogel. Nevertheless, Ikada teaches the same

materials and process steps as claimed by applicant. Consequently, unless some critical process

¹ Webster's Seventh Collegiate Dictionary, page 312, attached.

0608-1/CTNF

Application/Control Number: 10/625,156

Art Unit: 1762

parameter is not recited by the claims, it is the examiner's position that the coated, porous substrate of Ikada inherently possesses these physical properties.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 4,774,936 A teaches a water-swellable filter comprising, as the hydrophilic polymer, an acrylic polymer (9:40-48). US 5,125,415 A teaches a water-swellable filter comprising, as the hydrophilic polymer, a cellulosic polymer (2:57-64).

13. The prompt development of clear issues in the prosecution history requires that applicant's reply to this Office action be fully responsive (MPEP § 714.02). When filing an amendment, applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including new or amended claims (MPEP §§ 714.02 & 2163). A fully responsive reply to this Office action, if it includes new or amended claims, must therefore include an explicit citation (i.e., page number and line number) of that/those portion(s) of the original disclosure which applicant contends support(s) the new or amended limitation(s).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Fletcher III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/625,156 Page 8

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William Phillip Fletcher III Patent Examiner, USPTO

Art Unit 1762